

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,549	03/01/2004	Marko Areh	ZTP01P15155	3288	
24131	7590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3679		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie	f				

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,549	AREH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Victor MacArthur	3679		

Advisory Action	10/791,549	ANER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor MacArthur	3679 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following time application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (and the compact of the periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the condition of the condition	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Iliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	IRST REPLY WAS FILE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	vectors thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set for), to avoid dismissal o orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially it	saucing or simplifying	The issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: The proposed new limitation "each of the						
the scope of the claim thus requiring further search						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:						
13. Other:	(2)	mel P/	Hodoka			

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600